

RPII ANNUAL PLAYGROUND INSPECTION REPORT



LOUGHSIDE PLAY AREA BELFAST CITY COUNCIL 23rd January 2023

This inspection was carried out by James Busby RPII Signed J Randon

ltem No.	Item Description	Inclusive for all Abilities Y/N	DDA/ Age Label	Quality Score	Compliance with BSEN 1176 Y/N	User Risk Assessment	Equipment Findings		ltem Priority	Surfacing Risk Assessment	Surfacing Findings	Surface Priority Works
1		Y	Y	5	Y	L		Rehang 1 seat and chains.	3	L	N/A	5
	Item: Cradle Swings Manufacturer: Wicksteed Label: No Age Range: Toddler Capacity: 4 Surfacing: Black Tiles 1 – 3							Repair damaged seats.				
2	Item: Toddler Multi Unit Manufacturer: Sutcliffe Label: Yes Age Range: Toddler	Y	Y	5	Y	L		Monitor damage to slide. Replace 2 missing buffers.	3	L	N/A	5
Overa	Capacity: 8 Surfacing: Wet Pour 2 - 6			-								

*Quality Score

Excellent= 10Very Good= 9Good= 8Fairly Good= 7Sufficient= 6

Fair

Poor

Insufficient

Very Poor

Extremely Poor

* Priority Action

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items. A RPII annual inspection procedure does not involve depth checking or inspection of underlaying structure integrity of any surface area and/or carrying out any testing of the impact attenuating properties of any surfaces. Refer to RPII methodology for further inspection details. If further clarification of surface compliance is required a HIC test is recommended.

Date: 23/01/2023

ltem No.	Item Description	Inclusive for all Abilities Y/N	DDA/ Age Label	Quality Score	Compliance with BSEN 1176 Y/N	User Risk Assessment	Equipment Findings	Item Priority	Surfacing Risk Assessment	Surfacing Findings	Surface Priority Works
3	Item: Seesaw Rocker Manufacture: Proludic Label: Yes – 2005 Age Range: Junior Capacity: 4 Surfacing: Black Wet Pour 2 – 6	Ν	Y	8	Y	L	N/A	5	L	N/A	5
4	Item: Horse Rocker Manufacture: Proludic Label: Yes – 2005 Age Range: Toddler Capacity: 1 Surfacing: Black Wet Pour 2 – 6	Ν	Y	10	Y	L	N/A	5	L	N/A	5
Overa	all Mean Score =			-							

*Quality Score

Excellent= 10Very Good= 9Good= 8Fairly Good= 7Sufficient= 6

Fair

Poor

Insufficient

Very Poor

Extremely Poor

* Priority Action= 5 1 Urgent action n

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

- Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.

A RPII annual inspection procedure does not involve depth checking or inspection of underlaying structure integrity of any surface area and/or carrying out any testing of the impact attenuating properties of any surfaces. Refer to RPII methodology for further inspection details. If further clarification of surface compliance is required a HIC test is recommended.

Date: 23/01/2023

Date•	23/01/202	2
Dale.	43/01/404.	

ltem No.	Item Description	Inclusive for all Abilities Y/N	DDA/ Age Label	Quality Score	Compliance with BSEN 1176 Y/N	User Risk Assessment	Equipmen	t Findings	ltem Priority	Surfacing Risk Assessment	Surfacing	Findings	Surface Priority Works
5	Item: Inclusive and Flat Swings Manufacturer: Wicksteed Label: No Age Range: Junior/Senior Capacity: 4 Surfacing: Black Tiles 3+	Υ	Υ	5	Ν	L		Replace 2 damaged seats.	3	L		Power wash tiles. Replace worn tiles under seats.	3
6	Item: Seesaw Rocker Manufacturer: Proludic Label: Yes – 2005 Age Range: Toddler Capacity: 1 Surfacing: Wet Pour 2 – 6	Ν	Y	5	Y	L		Monitor rot on centre board.	5	L		Power wash surface.	3
Overa	II Mean Score =			-					1				

*Quality Score

Excellent= 10FairVery Good= 9InsufficientGood= 8PoorFairly Good= 7Very PoorSufficient= 6Extremely Poor

* Priority Action

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.

Item No.	Item Description	Inclusive for all Abilities Y/N	DDA/ Age Label	Quality Score	Compliance with BSEN 1176 Y/N	User Risk Assessment	Equipmer	nt Findings	Item Priority	Surfacing Risk Assessment	Surfacing	Findings	Surface Priority Works
7	Item:JuniorMulti UnitManufacturer:SutcliffeLabel:NoAge Range:JuniorAge Range:JuniorCapacity:10Surfacing:Red Wet Pour	Ν	Υ	5	Υ	L		Monitor movement at slide runout.	5	L		Power wash wet pour.	3
8	Item: Rotating Rocker Manufacturer: Proludic Label: Yes – 2007 Age Range: Senior Capacity: 2 Surfacing: Red Wet Pour 8+ Il Mean Score =	Ν	Υ	8	Υ	L	Ν	/Α	5	L	N/	A	5

*Quality Score

Excellent = 10 Fair Very Good = 9 Insufficient Good = 8 Poor Fairly Good = 7 Very Poor Sufficient = 6 Extremely Poor

* Priority Action

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended

- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.

A RPII annual inspection procedure does not involve depth checking or inspection of underlaying structure integrity of any surface area and/or carrying out any testing of the impact attenuating properties of any surfaces. Refer to RPII methodology for further inspection details. If further clarification of surface compliance is required a HIC test is recommended.

Date: 23/01/2023

	Date:	23	'01	/20	23
--	-------	----	-----	-----	----

ltem No.	Item Description	Inclusive for all Abilities Y/N	DDA/ Age Label	Quality Score	Compliance with BSEN 1176 Y/N	User Risk Assessment	Equipmer	nt Findings	Item Priority	Surfacing Risk Assessment	Surfacing Findings	Surface Priority Works
9	Item: Basket Swing Manufacturer: Eibe Label: Yes Age Range: All Capacity: 1 Surfacing: Wet Pour 3+	Y	Y	5	Ν	L		Raise basket by 70mm to allow 400mm clearance at lowest point when in motion.	2	L	N/A	5
Overa	III Mean Score =	6.2										

*Quality Score		
Excellent	= 10	Fair
Very Good	= 9	Insufficient
Good	= 8	Poor
Fairly Good	= 7	Verv Poor

= 6

Sufficient

* Priority Action

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

Extremely Poor

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.

ANCILLARY STRUCTURES

<u>TYPE</u>	GENERAL QUALITY CONDITION	ACTIONS	PRIORTY
Boundary Fencing	10	N/A	5
Gates	8	Remove crush point at hinge side.	3
Signs	10	N/A	5
Bins	10	N/A	5
Seats/Benches	10	N/A	5
Paths	10	N/A	5
	OVERALL MEAN SCORE: 9.7		

*Quality Score

Excellent= 10FairVery Good= 9InsufficientGood= 8PoorFairly Good= 7Very PoorSufficient= 6Extremely Poor

* Priority Action

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.

It is the responsibility of the play facility owner/operator to deal with all maintenance findings in a timely fashion relative to the risk of the specific findings. The priority score should be used as a rough guide only and is not a definitive timescale for works to take place.



*Quality Score

- Excellent Very Good Good Fairly Good Sufficient
- = 10 Fair
 = 9 Insufficient
 = 8 Poor
 = 7 Very Poor
 = 6 Extremely Poor

* Priority Action

- 1 Urgent action necessary (Contact Client)
- 2 Action required
- 3 Action recommended
- 4 Action considered
- 5 Monitor

= 5

= 4

= 3

= 2

= 1

N.B. Mean Score = total quality score divided by the number of items.